Status of Implementing ATC Method 2 at ITC June 23, 2005

Walter R. Bosch, D.Sc.





#### Status of Implementing ATC Method 2 at ITC

- Current Status of ATC Method 2 at ITC
- ITC Test Data
- Test Methodology
- Testing Time Table
- Test Results



#### Status of ATC Method 2 Implementation at ITC

- ITC has completed testing of RCET software v. 2.3 (WebSys client/server, Rapid Image Viewer, WebSys Administration Tools).
- The current test cycle has been performed on the production server (POLARIS) by Sean O'Leary at ITC.



#### ITC Test Data for Method 2 Testing

- The ITC test suite is comprised of DICOM and RTOG data sets representing all commercial TPS, which are *ATC Compliant*. (See list of ATC Compliant TPS at <a href="http://atc.wustl.edu/credentialing/atc\_compliant\_tps.html">http://atc.wustl.edu/credentialing/atc\_compliant\_tps.html</a>.)
- Additional DICOM data from *Vendor Complete* TPS, CMS XiO and TomoTherapy Hi-Art, were included in the test suite.
- Each dataset was imported successfully into the RRT database (as for Method 1) and checked to ensure that all objects reviewable by the RRT could be visualized.



# ATC Method 2 Testing Methodology

- 1. Upload test data sets to WebSys server at ITC (POLARIS).
- 2. Verify that data are registered in server database and viewable using Rapid Image Viewer tool.
- 3. Download test data sets from ITC WebSys server.
- 4. Use DICOM dump utility to compare corresponding files (one each of CTs, RT Structure Set, RT Plan, RT Dose, etc.) from original and retrieved copies of data sets.
- 5. Attempt to import and check downloaded data using RRT.
- 6. Report discrepancies between original and retrieved files, as well as unexpected behavior in WebSys client and Rapid Image Viewer tool.

A TC Advanced Technology Consortium Providing support in quality assurance and data management for radiation therapy clinical trials

#### ATC Method 2 Testing – Comparing submitted, retrieved data

Help

📕 RD. txt - Notepad <u>File Edit Format View</u>

(0008 0090) PN []

27c25

Differences between
submitted (>) and
retrieved (<) objects

		512	-,	
	> (0008,0090) PN (no value available)	#	0,	0 ReferringPhysiciansName
RD010010. dcm. txt - Notepad	<pre>&lt; (0010,0000) UL 112 &lt; (0010,0010) PN [M2_VARIAN_ECLIP_PR_05010601_S0_INIT]</pre>	# #	4, 36,	1 PatientGroupLength 1 PatientsName
<u>File E</u> dit F <u>o</u> rmat <u>V</u> iew <u>H</u> elp	> (0010,0010) PN [Varian^ProstateInitia]^ATC04PR02^AL^]	#	36,	1 PatientsName
# Dicom-File-Format	<pre>&lt; (0010,0030) DA [] &lt; (0010,0040) CS [] &lt; (0012,0000) UL 110</pre>	# # #	2, 2, 4,	1 PatientsBirthDate 1 PatientsSex 1 GenericGroupLength
# Dicom-Meta-Information-Header # Used TransferSyntax: LittleEndianExplicit (0002,0000) UL 186 (0002,0001) OB 00\01	 > (0010,0030) DA (no value available) > (0010,0040) CS (no value available) 28 40c34 36	# #	0, 0,	0 PatientsBirthDate 0 PatientsSex
(0002,0002) UI =RTDoseStorage (0002,0003) UI [1.2.246.352.71.7.231.2109.20040622 (0002,0010) UI =LittleEndianImplicit (0002,0012) UI [1.2.276.0.7230010.3.0.3.4.2]	< (0012,0021) LO [] < (0012,0030) LO [] < (0012,0031) LO []	# # #	2, 2, 2,	1 Clinical_Trial_Protocol_ 1 Clinical_Trial_Site_ID 1 Clinical_Trial_Site_Name
(0002,0013) SH [OFFIS_DCMTK_342] # Dicom-Data-Set # Used TransferSyntax: LittleEndianImplicit	> (0012,0021) LO (no value available) > (0012,0030) LO (no value available) > (0012,0031) LO (no value available)	# # #	0, 0, 0,	0 Clinical_Trial_Protocol_ 0 Clinical_Trial_Site_ID 0 Clinical_Trial_Site_Name
(0008,0012) DA [20040730] (0008,0013) TM [161821] (0008,0016) UI =RTDoseStorage	42,45C38,40 < (0012,0050) LO [] < (0012,0060) LO [] Clinical Trial Condinating Conton Name	# #	2, 2,	1 Clinical_Trial_Time_Poir 1
(0008,0018) UI [1.2.246.352.71.7.231.2109.20040622 (0008,0020) DA [20040416] (0008,0030) TM [160828]	< (0018,0000) UL 32 < (0018,0050) DS []	# #	4, 2,	1 AcquisitionGroupLength 1 SliceThickness
(0008,0050) SH (no value available) (0008,0060) CS [RTDOSE] (0008,0070) LO [VARIAN Medical Systems]	> (0012,0050) LO (no value available) > (0012,0060) LO (no value available) Clinical Trial Coordinating Center Name	# #	0, 0,	0 Clinical_Trial_Time_Poir 0
(0008,0090) PN (no value available) (0008,1010) SH [ALANGENE-L]	> (0018,0050) DS (no value available)	#	0,	0 SliceThickness
(0008,1090) LO [Vision 7.2 - External Beam Plannir (0010.0010) PN [Varian/ProstateInitial/ATC04PR02/4	47041 < (0020,0000) UL 252	#	4,	1 ImageGroupLength
(0010,0020) LO [VarianAProstateInitialAATC04PR02A4 (0010,0030) DA (no value available)	< (0020,0013) IS []	#	2,	1 InstanceNumber
(0010,0040) CS (no value available) (0012,0010) LO [Varian] (0012,0020) LO [ProstateTritial]	> (0020,0013) IS (no value available)	#	0,	0 InstanceNumber
(0012,0021) LO (no value available) (0012,0030) LO (no value available) (0012,0031) LO (no value available) (0012,0031) LO (no value available) (0012,0040) LO [ATCO4PRO2] (0012,0050) LO (no value available)	<pre># 0, 0 Clinical_Trial_Protocol_Name # 0, 0 Clinical_Trial_Site_ID # 0, 0 Clinical_Trial_Site_Name # 0, 0 Clinical_Trial_Subject_ID # 0, 0 Clinical_Trial_Time_Point_ID</pre>		D	ICOM "Dump"

#	2,	1	ReferringPhysiciansName
#	0,	0	ReferringPhysiciansName
# #	4, 36,	1 1	PatientGroupLength PatientsName
#	36,	1	PatientsName
# # #	2, 2, 4,	1 1 1	PatientsBirthDate PatientsSex GenericGroupLength
# #	0, 0,	0 0	PatientsBirthDate PatientsSex
# # #	2, 2, 2,	1 1 1	Clinical_Trial_Protocol_Name Clinical_Trial_Site_ID Clinical_Trial_Site_Name
# # #	0, 0, 0,	000	Clinical_Trial_Protocol_Name Clinical_Trial_Site_ID Clinical_Trial_Site_Name
# #	2, 2,	1 1	Clinical_Trial_Time_Point_ID
# #	4, 2,	1 1	AcquisitionGroupLength SliceThickness
# #	0, 0,	0 0	Clinical_Trial_Time_Point_ID
#	0,	0	SliceThickness
#	4,	1	ImageGroupLength
#	2,	1	InstanceNumber
#	0,	0	InstanceNumber



#### Server Test Modes

- Two modes of server operation are defined for testing:
  - UPDATE MODE Internet access to POLARIS server is enabled to permit RCET to update and configure the server.
  - TEST MODE No internet access to POLARIS server; access is limited to ITC intranet during testing.



#### ATC Method 2 Testing Time Table

Step

		•	
1	a	RCET installs software on POLARIS server in UPDATE MODE.	4/20/05-5/19/05
	b	RCET/ITC T-con to review updated software features; switch server to TEST MODE	5/19/05
	c	ITC performs functional tests of WebSys user interface	5/19/05-5/26/05
	d	ITC performs upload/download/comparison/RRT import tests using DICOM and RTOG test datasets	5/26/05-6/16/05
	e	ITC tests Rapid Image Viewer applet 3.0	6/9/05-6/16/05
	f	ITC test WebSys Administrative Tools	6/9/05-6/16/05
	g	ITC to report findings in (c, d, e, f) at ATC meeting	6/23/05
	h	RCET to evaluate test results, correct problems found, and prepare new version for testing; repeat step (1) until software is ready for external testing.	

Dates



#### ATC Method 2 Test Results

- Improved Features in this Version
  - 1. Case selector sorts cases by CaseId, Institution, Date, Description
  - 2. Limit of six protocols per user has been removed.
  - 3. Faster DICOM file scanner
  - 4. Web-based Administration Tools



## ATC Method 2 Test Results (2)

- Suggested Areas For Improvement
  - 1. Specificity and helpfulness of error messages.
  - 2. Placement of frequently used tabs on the Electronic Folder page.
  - **3.** A count of the total number of objects in each series would be helpful on the Electronic Folder page.
  - 4. Submission controls should be locked until the user has logged in to the server.
  - 5. Rapid Image Viewer review of RTOG format CT, MR studies and treatment verification images.



# ATC Method 2 Test Results (3)

- Specific Findings
  - 1. The DICOM file scanner fails to recognize (some) DICOM objects in the selected directory.
  - 2. WebSys client fails to create a new case when a existing case (in a different protocol) has the same CaseID.
  - 3. Under certain circumstances (Explicit-VR data objects containing sequences), DICOM files downloaded from WebSys were improperly encoded.
  - 4. WebSys client crashes when attempting to scan RTOG files with file extensions.



## ATC Method 2 Test Results (4)

- Specific Findings (continued)
  - 5. Several usability issues remain in the Rapid Image Viewer tool:
    - Some CT images could not be displayed with usable grayscale
    - Center/width settings are difficult to use; no presets provided.
    - UI state is not applied consistently to the display (e.g. "Equalize")
    - Grayscale control for multiple images is inoperable.



## ATC Method 2 Test Results (5)

- Specific Findings (continued)
  - 6. Web-based server administration tools are generally functional and helpful. Attempts to delete a case record using these tools, however, failed.
  - 7. All data sets were successfully retrieved from WebSys and imported into the RRT, except
    - Those that could not be uploaded due to failure of the DICOM file scanner (#1, above), and
    - DICOM data, which were improperly encoded upon export from WebSys (#3, above).